SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2011/BJAST/1030**
Title of the Manuscript:	***Effect of Recycled Coarse Aggregate of on the Compressive Strength and Rupture Modulus of Concrete***
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)*
General comment:	This manuscript is publishable in this journal but it needs to major corrections.	Corrected
Specific comments:		
Title and abstract	1)In title : Remove **of**. 2)In abstract: It should be rewritten. It should be a summary of whole work and a brief of results. Half of this abstract is about preparation of samples and test methods which no need to be in abstract.	Corrected
Section 1/introduction	As mentioned in the introduction, the use of recycled concrete as coarse aggregate was researched for many years. Authors should clearly explain at the end of introduction that in comparing to previous studies what difference between this study and previous studies is. The authors wanted to solve which problems in this subject or to show which new findings.	Corrected
Section 2/review of literature	It was well established.	
Section 3/materials & methods	In line 82 : what does this mean "standard 1:2:4:0.5 concrete mix". It needs to more explain. Is it mean as a reference mix ?	Corrected
Section 4/results & discussion	1)Is poor. No comparison between findings of this research and previous ones exist in this section. 2) In line 139: The **behaviour** (behaviour) was generally in **agreement** (agreement) with the literature (which literature? It needs to put proper references here).	Corrected
Section 5/ conclusion	It is poor. It needs to be rewritten and put a brief of whole findings such as results of compressive strength, density and so on.	Corrected
Section 6/reference	It is ok.	